

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 KEITH DONALD,

Case No.: 2:25-cv-00016-APG-BNW

4 Plaintiff

Order

5 v.

[ECF No. 5]

6 CHRIS LEE,

7 Defendant

8 On May 2, 2025, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I dismiss this case
9 because plaintiff Keith Donald did not pay the filing fee or file an application for leave to
10 proceed in forma pauperis and because he did not file a complaint. ECF No. 5. Donald did not
11 object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation.
12 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those
13 portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States*
14 *v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review
15 the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not
16 otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation
18 (ECF No. 5) is accepted and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is
19 instructed to close this case.

20 DATED this 27th day of May, 2025.

21 
22 _____
23 ANDREW P. GORDON
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE